

# EXHIBIT C

# EXHIBIT C

TITLEMAX OF TEXAS, INC.  
TALEISHA LEWIS vs TITLEMAX OF TEXAS

November 03, 2020

1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
WACO DIVISION

TALEISHA LEWIS, )  
)  
Plaintiff, )  
)  
vs. ) CIVIL ACTION NO.:  
) 6:19-cv-00630-ADA-JCM  
TITLEMAX OF TEXAS, INC., )  
IVY FUNDING, LLC, PLATE )  
RECON, LLC DBA PLATE )  
LOCATE, AND FCAR, LLC, DBA )  
FIRST CALL AUTO RECOVERY & )  
TOWING, )  
)  
Defendants. )

ORAL VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF  
TITLEMAX OF TEXAS, INC.'S REPRESENTATIVE PURSUANT TO  
RULE 30 (b)(6)  
NOVEMBER 3, 2020

-----  
ORAL VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF TITLEMAX OF  
TEXAS, INC.'S REPRESENTATIVE PURSUANT TO RULE 30 (B)(6),  
produced as a witness at the instance of the PLAINTIFF,  
and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled and  
numbered cause on November 3, 2020, from 12:12 p.m. to  
1:41 p.m., via Zoom videoconference, before Kimala S.  
Showers, CSR in and for the State of Texas, reported by  
machine shorthand, at the residence of Jose Urbaez  
Codon, in Savannah, Georgia, pursuant to the Federal  
Rules of Civil Procedure.

TITLEMAX OF TEXAS, INC.  
TALEISHA LEWIS vs TITLEMAX OF TEXAS

November 03, 2020

2

A P P E A R A N C E S

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

MR. JOSE GILL  
Thompson Consumer Law Group  
5235 East Southern Avenue  
Suite D106-618  
Mesa, Arizona 85206  
Phone:(602) 388-8836  
JGill@thompsonconsumerlawinfo.  
com

FOR THE DEFENDANT TITLEMAX OF TEXAS, INC.:

MR. JOEL TASCA  
Ballard Spahr LLP  
1980 Festival Plaza Drive  
Suite 900  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89135  
Phone:(702) 471-7000  
Tasca@ballardspahr.com

FOR THE DEFENDANT FCAR, LLC, DBA FIRST CALL AUTO  
RECOVERY & TOWING:

MR. MICHAEL RUSSELL  
Harrell, Stoebner & Russell,  
P.C.  
2106 Bird Creek Drive  
Temple, Texas 76502  
Phone:(254) 935-3036  
Mike@templelawoffice.com

ALSO PRESENT:

Ms. Marian Martin  
In-house Counsel for TitleMax of Texas, Inc.

TITLEMAX OF TEXAS, INC.  
TALEISHA LEWIS vs TITLEMAX OF TEXAS

November 03, 2020

3

## INDEX

## PAGE

Appearances.....	2
TITLEMAX OF TEXAS, INC.'S REPRESENTATIVE PURSUANT TO RULE 30 (b)(6) Examination by Mr. Gill.....	4
Signature and Changes.....	52
Reporter's Certificate.....	54

## EXHIBITS

(Pre-Marked before start of Deposition)

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
Exhibit A	TitleMax 18-23.....	24
Exhibit D	TitleMax 3 LPR Recovery....	39
Exhibit G	TitleMax 10-11 E-mails.....	43

TITLEMAX OF TEXAS, INC.  
TALEISHA LEWIS vs TITLEMAX OF TEXAS

November 03, 2020

9

1 Q. What is the primary purpose of TitleMax's  
2 business?

3 A. Again, you're referring to TitleMax of Texas  
4 within the state of Texas, correct?

5 Q. That's correct.

6 A. TitleMax of Texas is a credit services  
7 organization, within the state of Texas.

8 Q. And what is a credit services organization?

9 A. A credit services organization is a business or  
10 person that assists consumers with attaining loans from  
11 an unaffiliated third party.

12 Q. Okay. And the loans that it arranges, are they  
13 typically smaller loans, say less than \$2,000?

14 A. The amount of the loan can vary.

15 Q. Okay. The majority of the loans, are they less  
16 than \$2,000?

17 A. I wouldn't be able to say affirmatively if they  
18 are less than \$2,000 because they tend to fluctuate just  
19 from area to area.

20 Q. Okay. Is there a maximum amount of loan that  
21 TitleMax of Texas will arrange?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And what's that maximum amount?

24 A. \$10,100. Pardon --

25 Q. I'm sorry, I spoke over the last part. Can you

TITLEMAX OF TEXAS, INC.  
TALEISHA LEWIS vs TITLEMAX OF TEXAS

November 03, 2020

17

1 given access to any outstanding recoveries. Like,  
2 requests that were available.

3 Q. I see. So does TitleMax have, say, a list of  
4 vehicles that they want repossessed, and then if  
5 somebody sees one of them out in the world, they can  
6 just get it and then work on behalf of TitleMax to get  
7 that?

8 A. If one of the agents that are -- or vendors  
9 that are working within the, for example, Plate Locate  
10 system, if they happen to cross a vehicle that we have a  
11 request out for, they're able to -- to action on that  
12 vehicle.

13 Q. I see. So did TitleMax make a request to  
14 repossess the vehicle?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Okay. And who did they make that request to?

17 A. If I'm not mistaken, the request was sent out  
18 to a third-party vendor named Southwest Collateral.

19 Q. Okay. Was a request sent to Plate Locate?

20 A. A direct request was not sent to Plate Locate,  
21 but the request was made available to Plate Locate.

22 Q. What's the difference between direct request  
23 versus made available?

24 A. A direct request would be just as it implies, a  
25 direct request to that particular vendor, to recover a

TITLEMAX OF TEXAS, INC.  
TALEISHA LEWIS vs TITLEMAX OF TEXAS

November 03, 2020

18

1 specific vehicle. When it's made available to Plate  
2 Locate, there are a number of vehicles that have not  
3 successfully been recovered, that then Plate Locate is  
4 able to view, and their contracted vendors are able to  
5 action on.

6 Q. I see. So is it -- when you say made  
7 available, it like a standing order to get this if you  
8 see it?

9 A. You could categorize it that way, yes.

10 Q. Okay. Is that not how you would categorize it?

11 A. I was agreeing with your --

12 Q. Oh, okay. I just wanted to make sure that I  
13 understood you there. So just to check my understanding  
14 because, again, I'm not a repossession expert and I'm  
15 trying to wrap my head around the process.

16 So for TitleMax to repossess vehicles,  
17 there's kind of two tracks. One is TitleMax saying,  
18 hey, go get this car. Here's an order, go get it. And  
19 then the other track is, hey, here's a list of cars. If  
20 you see it out in the world, get it for us.

21 Is that correct?

22 A. That is one way that -- sorry, those are the  
23 two ways in which a recovery order gets processed, yes.

24 Q. Okay. So let's kind of dive into that little  
25 bit more, just so I understand the process. When we're

TITLEMAX OF TEXAS, INC.  
TALEISHA LEWIS vs TITLEMAX OF TEXASNovember 03, 2020  
31

1 was not properly updated within the system, so the  
2 system doesn't realize that the vehicle's not really in  
3 storage.

4 Q. Okay. So the -- so you said this thing was not  
5 updated properly. And it says 198 there because it was  
6 not updated properly, right?

7 A. Potentially, yes.

8 Q. Okay. Any idea what that -- what happened 198  
9 days before this was generated that would have caused  
10 that?

11 A. Can you repeat that? I'm sorry.

12 Q. I'm just wondering if you are aware of what  
13 would have caused that to be incorrectly coded like  
14 that? Seems like the answer is no. I'll withdraw the  
15 question. I can move on.

16 Okay. All right. So now I'm on page  
17 TitleMax\_000020. Do you see that page?

18 A. I do.

19 Q. Okay, great. And so I'm looking at something  
20 that says Repo Status History at the bottom, and it  
21 looks like 9/22/17. We see, Out for Repo. Repo Desk  
22 User: Alex Bran. Reason: None.

23 Is this when it was first put out there or  
24 first made available for repossession?

25 A. It was at this point when the request was



TITLEMAX OF TEXAS, INC.  
TALEISHA LEWIS vs TITLEMAX OF TEXAS

November 03, 2020  
32

1 initiated and then subsequently approved, yes.

2 Q. Okay. So that -- you said -- okay.

3 Repo request approved, what does that mean?

4 A. So all orders for repossession -- for recovery  
5 have to be approved by a separate authorization desk or  
6 a team that reviews all the requests and approves or  
7 denies them.

8 Q. Okay. And when in the process of repossession  
9 does that approval happen?

10 A. That approval is required on the front end. So  
11 that's why you see "New Repo Request" and then  
12 "Approved".

13 Q. I see. And so is that something that's just  
14 done once?

15 A. That approval is required every time a request  
16 is made. So if a request is made numerous times, then  
17 numerous approvals would be required.

18 Q. Okay. And in this instance, how many requests  
19 were made for repossession of this vehicle?

20 A. One.

21 Q. And that was in -- September 22nd, 2017?

22 A. That is correct.

23 Q. Okay. And so the request was reviewed and  
24 approved once in 2017?

25 A. That is correct.

TITLEMAX OF TEXAS, INC.  
TALEISHA LEWIS vs TITLEMAX OF TEXAS

November 03, 2020

33

1 Q. Okay. And what goes into that review to  
2 approve a repossession acquest?

3 A. The repo approval team, they will review the  
4 account information, the vehicle information, to ensure  
5 that everything lines up with the parameters that are  
6 set in order to approve a -- a recovery. And then if  
7 everything checks out, they will approve it.

8 Q. Okay. Are they reviewing title history  
9 information?

10 A. The desk will review to ensure that we do have  
11 a valid title with lien, yes.

12 Q. Okay. When you say, valid title with lien,  
13 just check TitleMax's files to ensure there's a copy of  
14 a lien in there, or a title with a lien on it?

15 A. Correct.

16 Q. Okay. They're not querying a national database  
17 or anything like that?

18 A. No.

19 Q. Okay. They're not querying the National Motor  
20 Vehicle Title Information System?

21 A. No.

22 Q. Okay. All right. Well, I'll continue moving  
23 on here to the next page of this TitleMax\_000021, and  
24 the shows some sort of account history; is that correct?

25 A. This is still in the Repo Systems recovery

TITLEMAX OF TEXAS, INC.  
TALEISHA LEWIS vs TITLEMAX OF TEXAS

November 03, 2020

54

1 STATE OF TEXAS)

2 COUNTY OF DALLAS)

3

4

5 I, Kimala S. Showers, Certified Shorthand Reporter  
6 duly commissioned and qualified in and for the State of  
7 Texas, do hereby certify that there came before me on  
8 the 17th day of November, 2020, at the residence of The  
9 Witness, located at 12 Fairgreen Street, Savannah,  
10 Georgia, the following named person, to-wit: JOSE  
11 URBAEZ CODON, who was duly sworn to testify the truth,  
12 the whole truth, and nothing but the truth of knowledge  
13 touching and concerning the matters in controversy in  
14 this cause; and that he was thereupon examined upon oath  
15 and his examination reduced to typewriting under my  
16 supervision; that the deposition is a true record of the  
17 testimony given by the witness.

18 I further certify that pursuant to FRCP  
19 Rule 30 (e) (1) that the signature of the deponent:

20   X   was requested by the deponent or a  
21 party before the completion of the deposition, and that  
22 signature is to be before any notary public and returned  
23 within 30 days from the date of receipt of the  
24 transcript;

25            was not requested by the deponent or

TITLEMAX OF TEXAS, INC.  
TALEISHA LEWIS vs TITLEMAX OF TEXAS

November 03, 2020

55

1 a party before the completion of the deposition.




2 I further certify that I am neither counsel  
3 for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties or  
4 attorneys in the action in which this proceeding was  
5 taken, and further that I am not financially or  
6 otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.

7  
8 CERTIFIED TO BY ME on this 16th day of  
9 November, 2020.

10  
11   
12

13 Kimala S. Showers, Texas CSR 9385  
14 Expiration Date: 03/31/2021  
15 Firm Registration No. 286  
16 Esquire Deposition Solutions  
17 1700 Pacific Avenue  
18 Suite 1000  
19 Dallas, Texas 75201  
20 (214) 257-1436  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

Vehicle Release Info

Release Date :		Release To :	
Time :			  

Property Release Info

Release Date :		Release To :	
Time :			  

Repo Status History

Date	Status	Repo Desk User	Store Employee	Reason	Notes
09/22/2017	Out For Repo	Alex Bran	Alex Bran	None	
09/22/2017	Repo Request Approved	Alex Bran	Alex Bran	None	
09/22/2017	New Repo Request	Alex Bran	Alex Bran	None	